

DYNAMICS AND COOLING OF MODULATED BUNCHES IN BEND

Rafael Tumanyan,
Yerevan Physics Institute, Armenia

April 17, 2009

Abstract

The influence of the space charge fields on the dynamics of the particles of the bunch, which is moved in a bending magnet is calculated. It is shown, that such influence of the Coulomb force on the energy of the particles of a modulated beam causes in the decreasing of the bunch energy spread during the compression due to energy deviation along the compressed bunch, i.e. bunch cooling. The necessary conditions of the maximal cooling are found. The attainable ordering of the bunch is investigated.

Prepared for EPAC04

1 INTRODUCTION

The cooling of charged particles beams is very important issues. The laser cooling [1] have many advantages in comparison to other cooling methods and very fast. But sometimes it is necessary to use more usual cooling. The effects of short electron bunch self fields on the bunch emittance and particles motion become important last years due to achievements in reception of short (shorter than 0.1 mm) bunches by using magnetic compression [3, 8]. This paper is devoted to dynamics of the bunch under the influence of the other cooperative charge field effects - Coulomb and centripetal force and collective focusing forces. All these forces grow, when the bunch length decreases. We have find that in this case the cooling of the bunch is possible.

2 GENERAL CONSIDERATION

The Coulomb force in the bunch with linear charge density $\lambda(s)$, which is moved along the z - axis with velocity $V = c\beta$, is determined by Poisson equation

$$\Delta\varphi = 4\pi\lambda(s)(1 - \beta)$$

In the approach $\beta = \text{const}$ this equation for electrical potential has the solution

$$\varphi = \frac{4\pi}{2\gamma^2} \int ds \int ds' \lambda(s') \quad (1)$$

and we have for Coulomb force

$$F_C = -\frac{d\varphi}{ds} = \frac{4\pi}{2\gamma^2} \int ds' \lambda(s') \quad (2)$$

For uniform bunches ($\lambda(s) = \text{const}$) with the number of particles N and length σ , this equation has the solution

$$\varphi_c = 4\pi e N / \sigma 2\gamma^2 \quad (3)$$

This solution with the solution of the CSR [8, 7] in the bend (9) and (10) give us the term, which is proportional to γ^{-2} and is not considered in [8, 7]. But this one is important in case of lower energy ($\gamma < 100$) of the bunch and describes the influence of the bunch compressing on the energy deviation of the bunch. We find in this paper the conditions of bunch cooling during the compression of modulated bunches in the magnetic fields such as alfa-magnet or chicane. For this goal we derive now particle dynamics equations for a relativistic ($\beta = V/c \approx 1$) bunch that follows curved trajectory with radius R . If a particle at the tail of the bunch radiates the electromagnetic fields, then the radiation propagates along the chord and “catches” another particle after overtaking distance [7] of $L_0 = |AB| = \theta R = 2(3sR^2)^{1/3}$ (s is the distance between particles). Under the influence of the radiation forces the particle motion nearby an equilibrium trajectory (for a given energy and given momentum) can be described by the following equations:

$$x'' + (K^2 - n)x = K \frac{\Delta\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} + \frac{F_x}{\varepsilon} \quad (4)$$

$$y'' + ny = F_{y/\varepsilon}, \varepsilon' = e\vec{E}\vec{V}/v_x, t' = (1 + Kx)/v_x$$

where $(') = d/dx$, $K(z) = 1/R$ is the equilibrium orbit curvature, $n(z)$ is the external focusing quadrupole field index. We neglected terms of $y'\varepsilon', x'\varepsilon'$ because no essential parametric damping is assumed. The components of the Lorentz force $\vec{F} = e(\vec{E} + \vec{\beta} \times \vec{B})$ can be calculated via the electromagnetic potential ($A_0, A_x, A_y = 0, A_z$) as

$$F_x = -\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial x} - e \frac{dA_x}{cdt} + e \frac{KA_x}{1 + Kx} \quad (5)$$

$$F_y = -\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial y}, e\vec{E}\vec{\beta} = \frac{\partial V_0}{\partial t} - e \frac{dA_0}{dt} \quad (6)$$

where $U_0 = e(A_0 - \beta A_x)$ is the interaction potential. To work out the perturbation of electron motion under the effect of self-radiative forces eqs. (5,6) above

we transform the eq. (4) into equations for amplitudes $C_{x,y}$ of Floquet solutions $f_{x,y}$ of the equation (4) without right-hand part. In this notation the full solution of (4) is may be written in the following form $x = \Psi \frac{\Delta\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} + (C_x^* f_x + c.c.)$, $y = C_y^* f_y + c.c.$, here Ψ is the solution of equation (4) with right-hand part equal to K. Floquet functions $f_{x,y}$ satisfy to normalization condition $f_{x,y}' f_{x,y}^* - c.c. = 2i$. Now it is not difficult to find the complex amplitudes (integrals of unperturbed motion) as functions of coordinates, velocities and energy:

$$2iC_x = x f_x' - x' f_x + \eta \frac{\Delta\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, 2iC_y = y f_y' - y' f_y$$

where $\eta(z) = \int_{-\infty}^z f_x K dz$. We can obtain time derivatives if we take into account equations of perturbed motion

$$2iC_y' = -f_y(F_y/\varepsilon) \quad (7)$$

$$2iC_x' = -f_x(F_x/\varepsilon) + \eta \varepsilon' / \varepsilon \quad (8)$$

The final equations for displaced amplitudes $\hat{C}_y = C_y$, $\hat{C}_x = C_x + e \frac{\eta A_0}{2i\varepsilon} - \frac{f_x A_x}{2i\varepsilon}$ and energy $\hat{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon + e A_0$ may be written in the following form

$$2i\varepsilon \hat{C}_x' = \left(\frac{\eta}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + f_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) U_0 - e f_x' A_x,$$

$$2i\varepsilon \hat{C}_y' = f_y \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial y}; \varepsilon' = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U_0 (1 + Kx).$$

3 TRANSVERSE FORCES OF CSR

To calculate the effective transverse forces and perturbation of the amplitudes, we assumed, that the bunch length σ satisfies conditions of a "thin" bunch and absence of beam pipe shielding [7]. Using the following integrals for electromagnetic potentials

$$A_0 = \int \frac{d^3 \vec{r}_1}{c\tau} \rho(\vec{r}_1, l - \tau); \tau = |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}|/c$$

$$\vec{A} = \int \frac{d^3 \vec{r}_1}{c\tau} \vec{j}(\vec{r}_1, l - \tau); \vec{j}(\vec{r}, l) = \vec{\beta} \rho(\vec{r}, l)$$

here ρ is the charge density distribution of the bunch. The retarding distance $c\tau$ for a constant bending radius R can be presented in the form as

$$|\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}| = \left| \zeta - \frac{\zeta^3}{24R^2} + \zeta \frac{x + x_1}{2R} + \frac{(x - x_1)^2 + (y - y_1)^2}{2\zeta} \right|, \zeta = z - z_1$$

We derive in this paper the effective transverse forces with linear accuracy on x and y. We neglect here the integration over $\zeta \leq 0$, small ultrarelativistic terms $\propto \gamma^{-2}$ and transverse dispersion of τ in the denominator of the integrand

in retarding potentials. In assumption that ρ is even function and in ignoring of small terms of second order after integrating one can find for interaction potential

$$U_0 = U(s)(1 + Kx) - F_0x + \frac{1}{2}g(s)(3x^2 + y^2),$$

where

$$F_0(s) = -\frac{2Ne^2}{R}\lambda(s), U(s) = \frac{2Ne^2}{(3R^2)^{1/3}} \int \frac{ds_1}{s_1^{1/3}} \lambda(s - s_1)$$

and notations λ for linear charge density and

$$g(s) = \frac{Ne^2}{(3R^2)^{2/3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds_1}{s_1^{2/3}} \lambda(s - s_1)$$

are used. It is clear that the contribution of the radial vector potential to displaced amplitudes C is about small terms of order $\sim (R^2\sigma_z)^{1/3}/\beta_x$, with respect to $F_0(s)$ and $g(s)$. Hence, we can neglect them in further consideration. In this approach we have

$$2i\varepsilon\hat{C}'_x = -\eta\frac{\partial}{\partial s}U(s) - f_xF_0(s) + 3f_xg(s)x$$

$$2i\varepsilon\hat{C}'_y = f_yg(s)y, \hat{\varepsilon}' = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s}U(s)$$

Consequently, comparing with initial Eqs. (7,8), one can see that: 1) $\partial U/\partial s$ is longitudinal energy loss gradient originally found in [6], 2) $F_0(s)$ is the effective centripetal radial force,

3) terms with $g(s)$ describe focusing field distortions in both transverse planes.

All these forces cause emittance growth.

4 PARTICULAR DISTRIBUTIONS OF BUNCH DENSITY

For a bunch with Gaussian linear charge density distribution $\lambda(s) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_s)e^{-s^2/2\sigma_s^2}$, the energy loss gradient along the bunch equals to

$$\hat{\varepsilon}' = \frac{d\varepsilon}{cdt} = -\frac{2Ne^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}(3R^2\sigma_s^4)^{1/3}} I_0(s/\sigma_s) \quad (9)$$

where the function I_0 equals to

$$I_0(s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds_1}{s_1^{1/3}} \lambda(s - s_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} e^{-s^2/2} H_{-2/3}(s)$$

and $H_n(s)$ is the Hermite function and may be expressed through the hypergeometric function ${}_1F_1$. As it is qualitatively understood, the bunch head particles get some excess of energy, while the tail and the center part mostly loses the energy. Transverse forces within the Gaussian bunch are given by formulae:

$$F_x(s) = -\frac{2Ne^2}{R}\lambda(s) - x\frac{3Ne^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}(9R^4\sigma_s^5)^{1/3}}I_1(s/\sigma_s)$$

$$F_y(s) = -y\frac{Ne^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}(9R^4\sigma_s^5)^{1/3}}I_1(s/\sigma_s)$$

where

$$I_1(s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds_1}{s_1^{2/3}} \lambda(s - s_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} e^{-s^2/2} H_{-1/3}(s)$$

One can see that particles at the head of the bunch are defocused by overtaking radiation fields, while other particles are focused. Let us note that effective radial force $\partial U_0/\partial x$ is essentially different from the initial force F_x in (2) – the difference is the term $\propto KA_z$. In fact, the later term dominates in F_x and it is the centrifugal force defined by Talman [4] (it looks like $(Ne^2/R)\lambda(s) \ln \frac{(R\sigma_s^2)^{2/3}}{\sigma_z^2}$ the effect of this later force on the bunch particles is cancelled by effect of the particle energies deviation under the influence of the transverse electric field, and therefore does not lead to the emittance growth. Similar cancellation effect in the particular case of a coasting beam was found in [5]. Now we can conclude that it is valid for any relativistic bunch. Fig. shows the plot of I_0 , which is the higher curve, and of I_1 . It is seen, that the resulting force is not centered relative to bunch center. This results are applicable to uniform bunches also, but after substitution

$$I_0(s) = \left(\frac{\sigma}{2} - s\right)^{-1/3}; I_1(s) = \left(\frac{\sigma}{2} - s\right)^{-2/3} \quad (10)$$

It is not difficult to see, that this formulas are diverge at the center of the bunch $s = \sigma/2$.

5 BUNCH COOLING IN THE BEND ACCOUNTING FOR COULOMB FORCES

The solutions (1,3) with the solution of the CSR in the bend (9) and (10) give us the terms, which are proportional to γ^{-2} and is not considered in [8, 7]. But this one is important in case of lower energy ($\gamma < 100$) of the bunch and describes the influence of the bunch compressing on the energy deviation of the bunch. The necessary condition of bunch cooling by compression at way by total length L by using of the bending magnet with length L_m can be found by comparing of maximal energy deviation at the end of the bunch ΔE_{max} with the cooperative action of CSR and Coulomb forces on the bunch end

$$\Delta E_{max} = LF_C(\sigma) + L_m * \dot{\varepsilon}'(1) \quad (11)$$

The cooling is possible, because compressed bunch has energy deviation. Notice, that the longitudinal CSR force heats, really, the bunch, because it is negative, but Coulomb force cools because it is positive and his action is negative to the compression direction. The bunch ends in the bend are moved to the bunch center, which is in fact the compression but the Coulomb force is directed in the opposite direction and his operation decreases the energy of the bunch ends, i.e. energy deviation. This more applicable to compressing of bunches of higher energy ($\gamma \geq 100$) in the chicane, where the main part of the compressing way is in the free space and CSR is absent. In case of lower energy bunches ($\gamma < 100$) the heating influence of the CSR is negligible in comparison to Coulomb and the compressing in any kind of alfa-magnet give us cooling of the bunch energy spread on approximately an order. The uncorrelated energy spread do not cooled by such simple cooling method. The cooling on one order of magnitude give us a possibility to increase the Madelung energy Γ of the bunch by two orders of magnitude and the condition of liquid bunch [2] is satisfies, if bunch has initially $\Gamma > 0.01$. This condition is possible to satisfy in various linear accelerator bunches. So, the liquid state of the bunch, where the bunch is partially ordered and can radiate coherent as laser [2], is possible to attaine at various linacs.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We have analyzed the bunch Coulomb force and cooperative synchrotron radiation in the bending magnet and found that it essentially influences the microbunch dynamics. First of all, the longitudinal force redistributes energy losses along the bunch, so, that head particles are somewhat accelerated by the field radiated by tail particles. The energy losses originate from derivative of the linear charge density, that is characteristic feature of the effect. This acceleration give a possibility to decrease the energy deviation of the compressed bunch, i.e.. to cool the bunch. Under certain conditions the full energy deviation can be equals to zero after compression except uncorrelated energy spread. Aside of the energy losses the transverse focusing in the self space charge forces is possible.

References

- [1] R.V. Tumanyan, Journal of Optical Society of America, Vol. 20, N 5, p. 1033-1036 (May 2003)
- [2] R.V.Tumanyan, L.A. Gevorgyan, eprint: ArXiv:physics/0202072; Report at Intern. Conf. FEL'02, Chicago, USA, Sept. 2002
- [3] J.B.Murphy, et.al, Proc. of IEEE PAC 1995, Dallas(1995). [
- [4] R.Talman, Phys. Rev. Lett., v.56, N.14 (1986),p.1429.
- [5] E.P.Lee, Part. Accel., v.25 (1990), p.241.

- [6] FEL at TESLA Test Facility, DESY Print, TESLAFEL 9503 (1995).
- [7] Ya.S.Derbenev, E.L.Saldin, V.D.Shiltsev, Fermilab-Conf-96/125, EPAC96.
- [8] Ya.S.Derbenev, et.al, DESY Print, TESLAFEL 9505 (1995).